

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, MAY 2, 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 2, 2000, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Mosk, Kennard, Baxter, Werdegard, Chin, and Brown.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Harry Kinney, Supreme Court Marshal.

S069237      The People, Respondent

v.

Juan Carlos Castenada, Appellant

Cause called. Sharon L. Rhodes argued for Appellant.

Michael T. Murphy, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Rhodes replied.

Cause submitted.

S067271      Robert Snukal, Respondent

v.

Flightways Manufacturing Incorporated, Appellant

Cause called. David B. Bloom argued for Respondent.

Ronald J. Selgrath argued for Appellant.

Mr. Bloom replied.

Cause submitted.

S067104      The People, Respondent

v.

Cruz Alberto Mendoza et al., Appellants

Cause called. William Farber argued for Appellant Mendoza.

David McNeil Morse argued for Appellant Valle.

John R. Vance, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Farber replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the Court and Officers present as first shown.

S055790      The People, Respondent

v.

Ricardo Ramirez Rios, Appellant

Cause called. Waldemar D. Halka argued for Appellant.

William M. Wood, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Halka replied.

Cause submitted.

S070959      In re Julius Lamar Cox on Habeas Corpus

-----

The People, Respondent

v.

Julius Lamar Cox, Appellant

Cause called. David Harrison argued for Appellant.

Rama R. Maline, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Harrison replied.

Cause submitted.

S074270      In re Jorge M., A Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law

-----

The People, Respondent

v.

Jorge M., Appellant

Cause called. Rama R. Maline, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

J. Courtney Shevelson argued for Appellant.

Mr. Maline replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, May 3, 2000.

4th Dist. Clive P. Allison on Habeas Corpus  
D032776 -----  
Div. 1 People, Respondent  
S079314 v.

Clive P. Allison, Appellant

The time for granting or denying review in the above cause is hereby extended to and including May 30, 2000, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied. This order is entered nunc pro tunc as of April 28, 2000, due to clerical error.

S020244 People, Respondent  
v.

Jesus Ciane Hernandez, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including June 30, 2000.

S025519 People, Respondent  
v.

Colin Raker Dickey, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 15, 2000.

S029301 People, Respondent  
v.

James David Tulk, Appellant

The time to file the appellant's opening brief is hereby extended to and including June 23, 2000.

S029476 People, Respondent  
v.

Richard Delmer Boyer, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including June 30, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S031641 People, Respondent

v.

Gregory O. Tate, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including June 30, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S033571 People, Respondent

v.

Warren James Bland, Appellant

The time to file the appellant's opening brief is hereby extended to and including June 23, 2000.

S087937 Jose Dejesus Rodriguez, Petitioner

v.

San Joaquin County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

2nd Dist. 7-Eleven, Inc. etc.

B140662

v.

Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One.

4th Dist. People, Respondent

G025909

v.

Div. 3 Jose Manuel J.

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.